



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/716,907	11/20/2000		Geert Florimond Gerard Depovere	PHN 17,772	8131	
24737	7590	11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS IN	NTELLE	CTUAL PROPER	RTY & STANDARDS	SHERKAT, AREZOO		
P.O. BOX 30				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BRIARCLIF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				FAFER NUMBER	
				2131	•	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/716,907	DEPOVERE ET AL	DEPOVERE ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods: 	lowing replies: (1) an amendm Notice of Appeal (with appeal t pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th	nent, affidavit, or other evid fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37								
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	be med within the time period	1 361 10101 111 07 01 11 41.07	aj.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beto) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in both	onsideration and/or search (selow);	ee NOTE below);						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		Non-Compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling								
the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows:			explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-7,9 and 10</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, leading because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is antered. An applicable of the control	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ary and was not earlier presen	r appeal and/or appellant fa ted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	out does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) F	Paper No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Rao et al., fails to teach that the selected watermark is one of the sets of basic watermark patterns (Remarks, Page 3). Examiner responds that Rao et al., discloses a watermark image being selected (Col. 5, lines 8-48). It is also implied that the watermark image in fact comprises of a collection of basic watermarks in the same way that an image is a collection of pixels (Fig. 2-3).

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER